

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2005 AUG 4 10 34 AM

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CONRAD V. MURPHY,
PLAINTIFF,

VS.

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, et al.,
DEFENDANTS.

PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
FURTHER ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

On June 29, 2005, plaintiff was served with defendants copy of the following: (1) Corrected Notice of Appearance, (2) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, (3) Defendants' Motion to Waive Local Rules 7.1 (A)(2) and 37.1, (4) Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support thereof (ONLY a total of 3 pages #1, #2 and 16), attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff then filed his First motion to further enlargement of time on 7/1/2005, and sent a copy to the defendants' attached hereto as Exhibit B. Plaintiff then was served by hand on 7/14/2005 a full and complete copy of the above attached hereto as Exhibit C, by the PARALEGAL Ms. Cheryl Maher, here at MCI Cedar Junction.

Now the plaintiff submitts his Second Motion To Further Enlargement of time due to his being recently employed and assigned to work in the institutional kitchen, attached hereto as Exhibit D, which is in conflict with his access to the law library, attached hereto as Exhibit E.

ARGUMENT

POINT I

DEFENDANTS ARE IMPEDING PLAINTIFF'S ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY BY EMPLOYING HIM.

Plaintiff contends that a PRISON JOB is not a RIGHT, and it is indeed a PRIVILEGE. Dupont V. Saunders, 800 F.2d 8, 10 (1st Cir. 1986). To maintain this PRIVILEGE, plaintiff has made it a point to keep his job by going to his job and doing all asked of him. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e. See Baker V. McNeil Island Corrections Center, 859 F.2d 124, 128 (9th Cir. 1988); that the defendants chose to hire the plaintiff to hinder his access to the law library in order to prevent the plaintiff from responding to defendants Motions to Dismiss.

The relevant subject matter involved in this Motion is the lack of access to the Law Library the plaintiff has due to his prison job.

Both schedules for plaintiff's library access and prison job is as follows:

Pursuant to Exhibit D, where the plaintiff has library access 2 (two) times a week for "only" 2 (two) hours each period. See the Unit Entitled B-1 which really means Block 2, the Unit the plaintiff is now currently housed to this date, inwhich the times are as follows; Tuesdays at 6:30pm to 8:30pm, Thursdays at 6:30pm to 8:30pm, Fridays at 1:30pm to 3:30pm, Saturdays at 8:30 to 11:30am and Sundays at 1:30pm to 3:30pm.

Pursuant to Exhibit E, plaintiff's job schdule is as follows; Sundays at 1:30pm to 7:30pm, Mondays at 1;30pm to 7:30pm, Tuesdays at 1:30 to 7:30pm, Wednesdays at 1:30pm to 7:30pm, Thursdays at 1:30pm to 7:30pm, with the exception of Fridays and Saturdays where the plaintiff has these two days off.

POINT II

PLAINTIFF'S ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY IS RELEVANT TO HIS OPPOSITION MOTION
TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

The plaintiff contends that by the defendants' employing him, takes away time from his access to Law Library, thus, if the plaintiff does not respond in Opposition to defendants' Motion To Dismiss, defendants' Motion then can be treated as one for Summary Judgement and disposed of ~~as~~ provided in Rule 56.

The plaintiff contends that the denial of adequate access to Law Library to research and to obtain copies and oppose the defendants' motions, the defendants' then obtain an un fair advantage and shifts an insuperable burden to the plaintiff.

Pursuant to Exhibit D where it states (pay period 2005 0625 (June 25th) to 0702 (July 2d)), and see Exhibit A p.1, the date on the envelope states June 29th 2005.

Plaintiff contends that he was hired to work on the 28th of June, one day earlier of the defendants' filing of their Motions.

The plaintiff contends that the defendants' semantical chicanery is but a calculated scheme to deprive the plaintiff of adequate access to Law Library and to prevent him from opposing all of defendants' Motions.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant plaintiff's Second Motion To Further Enlargement of Time.

7 / 25 /2005

cc: CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, hereby certify that a true copy of the above documents was forwarded to defendants Counsel on the above date.

X Conrad V. Murphy

Respectfully Submitted,

Conrad V. Murphy

CONRAD V. MURPHY, W47737

PRO SE LITIGANT

MCI CEDAR JUNCTION

P.O. BOX 100

SOUTH WALPOLE, MA 02071-0100

EXHIBIT "A"

1-8


Exhibit #1

If not delivered within five days, return to:
Legal Division
Department of Correction
70 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300

10

Conrad Murphy (W47737)
MCI-Cedar Junction
P.O. Box 100
South Walpole, MA 02071

02071+0100 01



LEGAL




Exhibit # 2



Mitt Romney
Governor

Kerry Healey
Lieutenant Governor

Edward A. Flynn
Secretary

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Public Safety
Department of Correction
Legal Division

70 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300
(617) 727-3300 Ext. 124
www.mass.gov/doc



Kathleen M. Dennehy
Commissioner

James R. Bender
Deputy Commissioner

Nancy Ankers White
General Counsel

June 29, 2005

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
1 Courthouse Way
Suite 2500
Boston, MA 02210

Re: **Murphy v. Dennehy, et al.**
NO. 04-12724-JLT


Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find courtesy copies of the following:

- (1) Corrected Notice of Appearance (filed via ECF on 6/28/05 – typed signature added);
- (2) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (filed via ECF on 6/28/05 – title corrected and typed signature added);
- (3) Defendants' Memorandum of Law in support thereof (filed via ECF on 6/28/05 – typed signature added);
- (4) Defendants' Motion to Waive Local Rules 7.1(A)(2) and 37.1 (filed via ECF on this date).

Thank you for bringing these issues to my attention.

Yours very truly,


Wendy C. Weber
Counsel

Enc.

cc: Conrad Murphy (W47737), MCI-Cedar Junction

EXhibit #3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 04-12724-JLT

CONRAD MURPHY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

COURTESY COPY
DO NOT SCAN

APPEARANCE

Without waiving service of process or any defenses related thereto, the undersigned counsel hereby enters her appearance on behalf of defendants Kathleen M. Dennehy, David Nolan, Mike Dinapoli, Ann Marie Aucoin, Joseph D. Cummings, Fran Berghaus, Keven [sic] O'Hearn, and Michael Sheehan.

Dated: June 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

NANCY ANKERS WHITE
Special Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel
BBO No. 633950
Department of Correction
Legal Division
70 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300
(617) 727-3300 x189

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date a true copy of the above document was served upon the plaintiff appearing *pro se* by first class mail.

Date: June 28, 2005

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel

Exhibit #4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 04-12724-JLT

CONRAD MURPHY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

COURTESY COPY
DO NOT SCAN

DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS

Now come the defendants in the above captioned matter and hereby move this Court to dismiss each Count of the plaintiff's Complaint. As grounds therefore, the defendants state that the plaintiff has failed to state any claim upon which relief may be granted, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.12(b)(6) and refer the Court to the Memorandum of Law filed herewith.

WHEREFOR, the defendants respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in their favor as to each count of the plaintiff's Complaint.

Dated: June 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Defendants,

By their attorneys:
NANCY ANKERS WHITE
Special Assistant Attorney General

/s/Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel
BBO No. 633950
Department of Correction
Legal Division
70 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300
(617) 727-3300 x189

EXhibit #5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day a true copy of the above document was served upon the plaintiff appearing *pro se* by first class mail.

Date: June 28, 2005

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel

Exhibit # 6

COURTESY COPY
DO NOT SCAN

CONCLUSION

WHEREFOR, the defendants respectfully request that the Court DISMISS each of the counts discussed above for the reasons set forth herein.

Dated: June 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

NANCY ANKERS WHITE
Special Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel
BBO No. 633950
Department of Correction
Legal Division
70 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300
(617) 727-3300 x189

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date a true copy of the above document was served upon the plaintiff appearing *pro se* by first class mail.

Date: June 28, 2005

/s/ Wendy C. Wenber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel

EXHIBIT #7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 04-12724-JLT

CONRAD MURPHY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

COURTESY COPY
DO NOT SCAN

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO WAIVE
LOCAL RULES 7.1 (A)(2) AND 37.1

Defendants, through counsel, hereby move this Court to exempt them from the requirements of Local Rules 7.1 (A)(2) and 37.1.

In support of this motion, defendant states that plaintiff Conrad Murphy is *pro se* in this action and is a prisoner incarcerated at MCI-Cedar Junction, a maximum security prison. As a result, to require defendant to attempt to confer with the plaintiff to resolve or narrow issues relevant discovery disputes and other motions, pursuant to Local Rules 7.1 (A)(2) and 37.1, would be unduly burdensome and serve no purpose.

EXHIBIT # 8

Wherefore, the defendant respectfully requests that this Court exempt it from the requirements of Local Rules 7.1 (A)(2) and 37.1.

Dated: June 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Department of Correction
Defendants

By their attorneys:

NANCY ANKERS WHITE
Special Assistant Attorney General
/s/ Wendy C. Weber

Wendy C. Weber, Counsel
BBO No. 633950
Department of Correction Legal Division
70 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300
(617) 727-3300, ext. 189

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date a true copy of the above document was served upon the plaintiff appearing *pro se* by first class mail.

Date: June 29, 2005

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel

EXHIBIT "B"

1-6

EXhibit # 1

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
COURTS CLERK
1 COURTHOUSE WAY, SUITE 2300
BOSTON, MA 02210

RE: CONRAD V. MURPHY,
VS. KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, et al.,
C.A. NO. 04-12724-JLT (2005)


Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find for filing and docketing the following documents
pertaining to the above-entitled Civil Action:

* Plaintiff's Motion To Further Enlargement of Time w/Exhibit in support.

Thank you for bringing this to the Courts immediate attention.

Cordially yours,



CONRAD V. MURPHY, W-47737
PRO SE LITIGANT
MCI CEDAR JUNCTION
P.O. BOX 100
SOUTH WALPOLE, MA 02071

7/1/2005

Sent by First Class Mail
cc: File.

EXHIBIT # 2

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION No. 04-12724-JLT

CONRAD V. MURPHY,
PLAINTIFF,

VS.

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, et al.,
DEFENDANTS.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO FURTHER
ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

Now comes the plaintiff in the above-entitled action and respectfully moves this Honorable Court, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 6(b), to enlarge by thirty (30) days, up until August 1st 2005, the time for the plaintiff to file his Opposition Motion To Defendants' Motion To Dismiss.

In addition, plaintiff requests enlargement to obtain a full and complete copy of the Defendants' Memorandum of law In Support of Their Motion To Dismiss.

As grounds, plaintiff has attached his Grievance hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff therefore requests further enlargement of time.

7 / 1 /2005

cc: Wendy C. Weber, Counsel
BBO No. 633950
Department of Correction
Legal Division
70 Franklin Street, Suit 600
Boston, MA 02110-1300
File.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,



CONRAD V. MURPHY, W-47737

PRO SE LITIGANT

MCI CEDAR JUNCTION

P.O. BOX 100

SOUTH WALOPLE, MA 02071-0100

EXHIBIT #3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I, hereby certify that a true copy of the above document:

*** Plaintiff's Motion To Further Enlargement of Time ***

was forwarded to the defendant's Counsel, by the plaintiff, @ MCI Cedar Junction, P.O. BOX 100, So. Walpole, MA 02071-0100 via First Class Mail, through the Institutions Mailing Processing System.

7 / 1 / 2005

cc: File.



CONRAD V. MURPHY, W-47737

PRO SE LITIGANT

MCI CEDAR JUNCTION

P.O. BOX 100

SO. WALPOLE, MA 02071-0100

EXhibit # 4

EXHIBIT "A"

Block A. Continued (SELECT ONE TYPE ONLY)

- ☐ ADA
- ☐ ASSAULT
- ☐ CANTEEN
- ☐ CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS
- ☐ DENIAL/RESTRICTION OF PRIVILEGES
- ☐ EMERGENCY
- ☐ FOOD
- ☐ GRIEVANCE PROCESS
- ☐ HAIRCARE
- ☐ HARASSMENT
- ☐ INMATE ACCOUNTS
- ☐ LAUNDRY
- ☐ LAW LIBRARY
- ☐ MAIL/GENERAL
- ☒ MAIL/LEGAL
- ☐ MEDICAL ACCESS
- ☐ NEW RELIGIOUS REQUEST
- ☐ OTHER
- ☐ PHONE/GENERAL
- ☐ PHONE/LEGAL
- ☐ PHYSICAL PLANT
- ☐ POLICY
- ☐ PROCEDURE
- ☐ PROGRAM ACCESS
- ☐ PROPERTY
- ☐ PUBLICATIONS
- ☐ RELIGION
- ☐ REPRISAL FOR GRIEVANCE/COMPLAINT
- ☐ RETALIATION
- ☐ SEARCHES/INSTITUTION
- ☐ SEARCHES/TAC TEAM
- ☐ SENTENCE COMPUTATION
- ☐ SMU/DDU OPERATIONS
- ☐ STAFF MISCONDUCT
- ☐ STAFF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT W/INMATES
- ☐ SUSPENSION OF NORMAL OPERATIONS
- ☐ UNIT OPERATIONS
- ☐ USE OF FORCE
- ☐ VERBAL ABUSE
- ☐ VISITS


EXhibit # 5

FORM "A"

**COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM**

INMATE'S NAME: CONRAD V. MURPHY		INMATE'S #: W-47737	DATE: 7/1/05
INSTITUTION: MCI CEDAR JUNCTION @ WALPOLE, MA 02071		DATE OF INCIDENT: JUNE 30, 2005	
INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Refer to 103 CMR 491, Inmate Grievance Policy. 2. Check off a grievance type that best describes your grievance in Block A. 3. In Block B, give a brief and understandable summary of your complaint/issue. 4. List any actions you may have taken to resolve this matter in Block C. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted. 5. Provide a Requested Remedy in Block D. A. Check off one grievance type only (Listed on reverse side). When filing an Emergency Grievance select Emergency and one additional grievance type. <div style="text-align: center;"><u> </u> EMERGENCY</div>			
B. Give a brief and understandable summary of your complaint/issue. Additional paper may be used, if necessary. <div style="text-align: center;"><u>LEGAL MAIL</u></div> <p>On 6/30/2005, the Block 2 Officer opened one legal mail envelope and I noticed that the <u>DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW</u> was missing and all that was attached thereto was pages 1,2 and 16.</p> <p>I then brought the question up to the Block Officer "what happened to the missing pages to the <u>memorandum of law</u>?" and this officer then stated to me "that was the way he got it."</p>			
C. List any action taken to address/resolve this matter. Include the identity of staff members you have contacted.			
D. Provide your Requested Remedy. <p>That the defendants "<u>furnish</u>" me a full and complete copy of the <u>memorandum of law</u></p>			

Inmate's Signature



Date:

7/1/2005

Staff Recipient

Date:

****DENIED GRIEVANCES MAY BE APPEALED TO THE REVIEWING AUTHORITY WITHIN 10 BUSINESS DAYS.**

(Inmate receipts/responses will be generated via the Inmate Management System.)

EXHIBIT #6

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION****INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM****FORWARD TO INSTITUTIONAL GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR (IGC)**

Name MURPHY CONRAD Grievance# 11633 Institution MCI CEDAR JUNCTION

Commit No. W47737 Housing BLOCK 2 Date Of Incident 20050630 Date Of Grievance 20050701

Complaint On 6.30.05, the Block 2 Officer opened one legal mail envelope and I noticed that the DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW was missing and all that was attached thereto was pages 1,2 and 16. I then brought the question up to the Block Officer "what happened to the missing pages to the memorandum of law?" and this officer then stated to me "that was the way he got it."

Remedy Requested That the defendants "furnish" me a full and complete copy of the memorandum of law.

Staff Recipient Aucoin Ann Marie CO I

Staff Involved _____

Signature _____

RECEIPT BY INSTITUTIONAL GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR

Date Received 20050705 Decision Date _____

Signature _____

Final Decision _____

Decision _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Denied grievances may be appealed to the Superintendent within 10 working days of Institution Grievance Coordinator's decision.

INMATE RECEIPT

Name MURPHY CONRAD Institution MCI CEDAR JUNCTION

Commit No. W47737 Grievance# 11633 Date Received 20050705

Signature Aucoin Ann Marie CO I

EXHIBIT "C"

1-4

Exhibit # 1

July 14, 2005

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
1 Courthouse Way
Suite 2500
Boston, MA 02210

Re: **Murphy v. Dennehy, et al.**
NO. 04-12724-JLT

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find a courtesy copy of the following:

(1) Affidavit of Counsel

Thank you for bringing these issues to my attention.

Yours very truly,

Wendy C. Weber
Counsel

Enc.

cc: Conrad Murphy (W47737), MCI-Cedar Junction

EXHIBIT # 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 04-12724-JLT

CONRAD MURPHY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL

I, Wendy C. Weber, hereby depose and state as follows:

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and before the United States District Court of the District of Massachusetts.
2. On July 13, 2005, I received a pleading from plaintiff Conrad Murphy alleging that he did not receive a complete copy of the defendants' Memorandum of Law in support of Motion to Dismiss, which was filed electronically and served upon him by first class mail on June 28, 2005, along with defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Memorandum of Law and Motion to Waive Local Rules.
3. On this date, July 14, 2005, I electronically mailed complete copies of each pleading to Massachusetts Correctional Institution – Cedar Junction ("MCI-CJ") paralegal Cheryl Maher, had her check them for completeness, and instructed her to personally serve the plaintiff with the pleadings.
4. At approximately 9:53 am, Ms. Maher personally served the plaintiff and filed an incident report detailing what he received. See Exhibit A attached hereto.

EXHIBIT # 3

5. I intend to electronically mail a complete copy of the instant affidavit, which I will also instruct Ms. Maher to review for completeness and personally serve upon the plaintiff on this date.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this fourteenth day of July, 2005.

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date a true copy of the above document was served upon the plaintiff appearing *pro se* by hand delivery.

Date: July 14, 2005

/s/ Wendy C. Weber
Wendy C. Weber, Counsel

EXhibit # 4

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
MCI CEDAR JUNCTION
INCIDENT REPORT

Incident Report # : 188196 **Incident Time** : 09:53 **Date**: 07/14/2005 **Place Occurred:** West Wing Corridor
Codes & Subject : INMATE RELATED Outside Agency
Reported By : Maher Cheryl PARALEGAL **Department**: Paralegal **Reported Date**: 07/14/2005

Description : On Thursday, July 14, 2005, at the request of DOC Legal, Attorney Wendy Weber, the following was served in hand to inmate Conrad Murphy.

1. Appearance (1 page)
2. Defendant's Motion To Waive Local Rules 7.1(A)(2) AND 37.1 (2-pages)
3. Exhibits A&B (A consisted of 16 pages and B consisted of 4 pages)
4. Defendants' Memorandum Of Law In Support Of Motion To Dismiss (16 pages)
5. Defendants' Motion To Dismiss (2 pages)

Person Type	Commit No	Name	Housing Unit
Inmate	W47737	MURPHY CONRAD	BLOCK 2

Entered By: Maher Cheryl PARALEGAL

Supervisor :

Date:

Comments :

Shift Commander:

Date:

Comments :



EXHIBIT “D”

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
MCI CEDAR JUNCTION

Payroll Statement

Inmate: W47737 MURPHY, CONRAD

Block: BLOCK 2

Date: 2005 0714 08:15:50

Room: 10

Job Code	Description	Units Worked	Reg./O.T.	Total Amount	Personal Amount	Savings Amount
Institutional Employment						
Pay Period 2005 0626 To 2005 0702						
5051	Kitchen	4.00	R	8.00	4.00	4.00

EXHIBIT "E"

Witness B1 maintain the record where the Plaintiff is currently housed to this day.

LIBRARY ACCESS SCHEDULE:

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
8:15 am to 11:30 am	OU		OU	OU	OU	B1 ★ B5 B6 B4 B8	A2 A1 A3
1:30 pm to 3:30 pm	B3	B4	B7	B8	B1 ★ B5 B6 B4 B8	A2 A1 A3	B1 ★ B5 B6 B4 B8
6:30 pm to 8:30 pm	A2 A1 A3	B1 ★ B5 B6 B4 B8	A2 A1 A3	B1 ★ B5 B6 B4 B8	A2 A1 A3	B4 B8	B4 B8

Reviewed by:

Approved by:

[Signature]
[Signature]

Date:

Date:

3-03-05

3-03-05